UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,101	09/17/2003	Beata Bartkowska	F3315(C)	3698
	7590 01/03/200 TELLECTUAL PROF	EXAMINER		
700 SYLVAN AVENUE, BLDG C2 SOUTH ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, NJ 07632-3100			MAHAFKEY, KELLY J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	<u> </u>
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS 01/03/2007		01/03/2007	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/664,101	BARTKOWSKA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kelly Mahafkey	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	8 July 2006.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ -	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	tion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed:		•			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to b	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	***				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in Ap	oplication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not r	received.			
Attachment(s)		•			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	· —	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/664,101

Art Unit: 1761

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The previous 103(a) rejection of claims 1-17, in the office action mailed April 17, 2006 has been withdrawn. At the present, a restriction requirement is deemed necessary, and as such, no new rejection has been presented at this time.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims1-5, drawn to frozen aerated product, classified in class 426, subclass 565.
- II. Claims 6-17, drawn to a method of making a frozen aerated product, classified in class 426, subclass 524.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process; the product as claimed does not require the limitations of the method claims (i.e. adjusting the pH of the composition above the isoelectric point of a protein, creating a premix, ect); the product, as claimed can be made without the required limitations of the claimed method.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification and a different field of search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Application/Control Number: 10/664,101

Art Unit: 1761

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelly Mahafkey whose telephone number is (571) 272-2739. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kelly Mahafkey Examiner, Art Unit 1761

MELTON I. CANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1739